

**Individual / Organisation name: Michael Mossy**  
**What state/territory: WA**

**Review of the National Guidance Material for Working Safely on the Waterfront  
 Public Comment Response Form**

Complete and submit this form by 5PM AEST FRIDAY 10 AUGUST 2012 to  
[stevedoring@safeworkaustralia.gov.au](mailto:stevedoring@safeworkaustralia.gov.au)

| <b>Comments on content of the national guidance material</b>                           |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Section/page no.</b>  | <b>Comment</b>  |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| <b>Comments on additional issues identified in section 4.2 of the Discussion Paper</b> |   |
| <b>Section/page no.</b>  | <b>Comment</b>  |
| Hatchmen   | This penny pinching at the expense of safety is unacceptable in all other industries in Australia "Safety comes first". Clearly this is not this case in the boardrooms of Australia's stevedoring companies. I implore the citizens of Australia and our politicians to ensure that the safety of our members is not compromised by allowing this very important role to be taken away to increase the short term profits of these companies. Thank you. |
| Stevedoring qualifications   | Should the guidance material refer to the qualifications (Cert II, Cert III and Cert IV in Stevedoring) that have been developed for the stevedoring industry?<br><br>It is extremely important to have better trained and qualified workers especially in high risk environments like the waterfront. Thank you.   |
| Safety Induction skill-set   | Should the newly developed stevedoring 'safety skill-set' be used to underpin safety inductions?<br><br>Safety inductions are clearly important for all new starters on the waterfront and all workers and indeed visits should be covered by a certain safety standard as is the norm in all other industries. Thank you.  |
| Use of checklists  | Should the safety checklists, which set out examples of the types of hazards, and acceptable/unacceptable ways of handling those hazards, be maintained in the guidance material?<br><br>I strongly agree with the stance of the union on this point.   |

|   |                |
|---|----------------|
|   |                |
| <b>Comments on the implementation of the national guidance material in each jurisdiction which may have included launches, information and training sessions, and whether it has been useful in the workplace as a resource for improving safety practices.</b> |                |
| <b>Section/page no.</b>   | <b>Comment</b> |
|   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |
| <b>Other comments</b>   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |
|   |                |